1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
6	AT SEATTLE	
7	CHERYL KATER and SUZIE KELLY,	No. 15-cv-00612-RSL
8	individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATORS'
9		UNOPPOSED MOTION AND ORDER FOR APPROVAL OF DISTRIBUTION
10	Plaintiffs,	PLAN
11	v.	
12	CHURCHILL DOWNS INCORPORATED, a	
13	Kentucky corporation, and BIG FISH GAMES, INC., a Washington corporation.	
14		
15	Defendants.	
16	MANASA THIMMEGOWDA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	No. 19-cv-00199-RSL
17	on condition and control standard streament,	SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATORS' UNOPPOSED MOTION AND ORDER
18	Plaintiffs,	FOR APPROVAL OF DISTRIBUTION
19	v.	PLAN
20	BIG FISH GAMES, INC., a Washington	
21	corporation; ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES	
22	INC., a Nevada corporation; ARISTOCRAT LEISURE LIMITED, an Australian corporation;	
23	and CHURCHILL DOWNS INCORPORATED,	
24	a Kentucky corporation,	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		
<i>Δ1</i>	Administrators' Unopposed Motion CASE NOS. 15-CV-612, 19-CV-199, 18-CV-5276, & 18-CV-5277 - i	

1	SEAN WILSON, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	No. 18-cv-5277-RSL
2	-	SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATORS' UNOPPOSED MOTION AND ORDER
3	Plaintiff,	FOR APPROVAL OF DISTRIBUTION
4	$\nu$ .	PLAN
5	PLAYTIKA LTD, an Israeli limited company,	
6	and CAESARS INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT, LLC, a Delaware limited	
7	liability company,	
8	Defendants.	
9	SEAN WILCON individually and an habalf of	No. 18-cv-05276-RSL
10	SEAN WILSON, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATORS'
11		UNOPPOSED MOTION AND ORDER FOR APPROVAL OF DISTRIBUTION
12	Plaintiff,	PLAN
13	v.	
14	HUUUGE, INC., a Delaware corporation,	
15	Defendant.	
16	Dejenuani.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
	Administrators' Unopposed Motion CASE NOS. 15-CV-612, 19-CV-199, 18-CV-5276, & 18-CV-5277 - ii	

## SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATORS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF DISTRIBUTION PLAN

On April 22, 2021, the Court granted the Settlement Administrators' unopposed motion to continue the deadline for payment of approved claims by sixty days. The Settlement Administrators have diligently used that time, and are now ready—subject to Court approval—to begin implementing a Distribution Plan. The Settlement Administrators jointly propose, and no Party opposes, a Distribution Plan as follow:

- 1. On or about July 12, 2021, the Settlement Administrators will make Initial Payments to all claimants with qualifying claims. The Initial Payments are intended to equal approximately eighty percent of the claimants' total approved recoveries, with the remaining 20% (the "Reserve Fund") to be distributed via supplemental distribution(s)—after completion of the challenges process—as described below.
- 2. By September 6, 2021, the Settlement Administrators shall provide their final recommendations to Judge Phillips (Fmr.) as to all class member challenges submitted pursuant to the previously-Court-approved process for Final Claims Determinations. Based on challenges reviewed by the Administrators to date, the Settlement Administrators anticipate making recommendations—subject to Judge Phillips' final and nonbinding authority—on at least the following categories of challenges:
  - a. Challenges based on supplemental friend codes provided by claimants.
  - b. Challenges based on supplemental email addresses provided by claimants.
  - c. Challenges based on DRP v. Non-DRP Status.<sup>1</sup>
  - d. Challenges based on Lifetime Spending Amounts supported by documentation.
  - e. Challenges based on Lifetime Spending Amounts not supported by documentation.
  - f. Challenges based on erroneous Lifetime Spending Amounts previously communicated by a Settlement Administrator to claimants.<sup>2</sup>
- 3. By October 4, 2021, Judge Phillips shall make all Final Claims Determinations.
- 4. As promptly thereafter as practicable, and no later than 30 days thereafter, the Claims Administrators shall make a supplemental distribution to all claimants, taking into account any approved challenges, such that the Reserve Fund is fully allocated. However, to the extent a Supplemental Distribution to a claimant would equal less than five dollars, that Supplemental Distribution shall not be paid, and instead the amount shall be distributed pro rata to claimants whose Supplemental Distribution exceeds five dollars.

These challenges apply to the settlements in *Kater* (No. 15-cv-612), *Thimmegowda* (No. 19-cv-199), and *Wilson v. Huuuge* (No. 18-cv-5276). They do not apply to the settlement in *Wilson v. Playtika*. (No. 18-cv-5277).

A limited number of such challenges have been received by Angeion Group, and approval of all such challenges would have a *de minimis* (*i.e.*, approximately one quarter of one percent) impact on claimant recoveries. Class counsel support approval of these challenges, and Angeion Group anticipates recommending that Judge Phillips approve each such challenge.

1 2 3	5. Pursuant to Section 2.1 of the Settlement Agreements, to the extent that any Initial Payments or Supplemental Payments are not cashed/processed by claimants, such amour shall remain in the Net Settlement Fund and shall be apportioned pro rata to participating Settlement Class Members in an Additional Distribution, if practicable, with a firm			
4	payment to be made to a <i>cy pres</i> recipient in the event that an Additional Distribution is impracticable or additional funds remain in the Net Settlement Fund after the Additional Distribution.			
5	Distribution.			
6	* * *			
7				
8	This proposed Distribution Plan is fair, equitable, and faithfully effectuates the			
9	Settlement Agreements in these cases. Consequently, the Settlement Administrators jointly request—and no Party opposes—that the Court grant this motion and enter the attached			
10				
11	[Proposed] Order.			
12				
13	Dated: July 7, 2021 Respectfully submitted,			
14				
15	ANGEION GROUP By:			
16	Steven R. Platt			
17	Angeion Goup, LLC 1650 Arch Street, Suite 2210			
18	Philadelphia, PA 19103 Phone: (904) 699-5099			
19	Email: splatt@angeiongroup.com			
20	AND OF A CHELLE AND MENTER ADMINISTRATION.			
21	KROLL SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION  By: Scott M. Januare B.			
22	Scott Fenwick  Kroll Settlement Administration			
23	1515 Market Street Suite 1700			
24	Philadelphia, PA 19102 Phone: (215) 430-6036			
25	Email: scott.fenwick@kroll.com			
26				
27				

Administrators' Unopposed Motion CASE Nos. 15-cv-612, 19-cv-199, 18-cv-5276, & 18-cv-5277 - 2

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	The Settlement Administrator's unopposed motion is GRANTED. The Distribution Plan
3	is approved. <u>IT IS SO ORDERED.</u>
4	
5	Dated this 7th day of July, 2021.
6	MMS (asnik ROBERT S. LASNIK
7	ROBERT S. LASNIK UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	ONITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	Administrators' Unappased Mation
	Administrators' Unopposed Motion CASE NOS. 15-CV-612, 19-CV-199,

18-cv-5276, & 18-cv-5277 - 1